### Effectiveness of Pre-Cooling Before Administration of Palatal Anesthesia - A Randomized Control Trial

Received: 22 October 2022, Revised: 25 November 2022, Accepted: 28 December 2022

### Dr S. Ruthvik

Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai-600077, India.

Email ID: 152104006.sdc@saveetha.com

### **Dr Melvin George**

Senior lecturer Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai-600077, India.

Email ID: Melvingeorgea@gmail.com

### **Dr Swetha Bhatt**

Oral and maxillofacial surgeon Email ID: swethavb95@gmail.com

### Corresponding author: Dr S. Ruthvik

Email ID: 152104006.sdc@saveetha.com

### **Keywords:**

Local Anesthesia; Palate; Pain Perception; Pre-cooling; Topical Anesthetic, Ice bar

### **Abstract**

Objective: "To reduce discomfort during injections, researchers compared the efficacy of pre-cooling the injection site to that of using a topical anesthetic as a pre-injection anesthetic in a trial. Materials and Methods: "Twenty patients took part in a prospective randomized split-mouth crossover research in which they were given either an ice bar (test group) or 5% lignocaine gel (control group) to apply topically for 2 minutes before injection. The composite pain score was used to gauge the degree to which the patients were in pain and how satisfied they were with the treatment they received. To examine the statistical significance between groups, we used an independent t-test. Results: "Intergroup comparison of VAS score showed that VAS score was significantly lesser in ice bud group as compared to control group. Mean VAS score in ice bud and control group was  $0.70 \pm 0.68 \pm 3.50 \pm 0.85$  respectively. Conclusion: When put next to the application of a local anesthetic, 2 minutes of ice treatment with an ice bar dramatically reduced pain perception. Additionally, young people favored ice applications.

#### 1. Introduction:

Fear of, or anxiety over, receiving a local anaesthetic injection is rather common in the dental operatory [1]. It has been noted that the fear of pain associated with the injection of anaesthetic drugs is a barrier to delivering adequate dental treatment [2,3]. An effective method of treating young patients, deep local anaesthetic helps ease their anxiety and pain throughout restorative and surgical treatments. Local anaesthetic injections can be made more bearable through a variety of strategies, Techniques such as counter-irritation and distraction, warming local

anaesthetic chemicals (such as Benzocaine), Injections may be less painful with the help of buffering local anesthetics and modifying the pace of infiltration by slowing the injection speed.[4,5]. A lot of progress has been made in dental care, yet most people's first option for LA administration is still an injection. The latest advances and trends in pediatric injectable pain relief are both costly and stressful for young patients [3]. Using a pencil of ice as a pre-injection anesthetic is investigated as a flexible, efficient, cost-effective, and child-friendly method. Local anesthetic injection, postoperative pain management, and edema prevention are only some of the potential benefits of cryotherapy,

often known as cold treatment [6]. In previous research [7, 8], ethyl chloride was used for pre-injection anesthesia and to reduce pain after minor surgical operations, sports injuries, and myofascial pain.

Intraoral topical anesthetics have shown conflicting outcomes in the literature for relieving children's pain during injections. Adults have attempted pre-cooling before palatal injections, whereas pre-cooling has been done before inferior alveolar nerve blocks and maxillary buccal infiltrations in children. Since the palatal mucosa is notoriously uncomfortable to localise anesthetics on, it serves as an ideal testing ground for these topical anesthetics [9]. Self-report and behavioral approaches are the only sources of information we have on pain perception [10], and a composite score of these methods, as well as measures of behavior and physiology, has not yet been established. There has not been research assessing the composite pain score before and after pre-cooling the palate soft tissues for the larger palatine block in children. It was for this reason that the present investigation was launched. The major goal of this research was to determine whether method, pre-cooling or topical anaesthetic, was more effective in reducing patients suffering during palatal injections.

#### 2. Materials and Methods:

Split-mouth research was conducted prospective, randomized, equivalency, crossover trial. This research was conducted at the Department of Oral and maxillofacial surgery and was approved by the institution's ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained from the participants. Of the 50 participants, only 30 satisfied the criteria for further evaluation. All patients must satisfy all of the following requirements to take part in the study: Must meet following criteria to be eligible for bilateral greater palatine nerve blocks: (1) have a condition requiring such treatment; (2) not have a known allergy to local anesthetics; (3) "not have a history of post-traumatic stress disorder or specific phobia related to dental settings; (4) not have a history of systemic mental or physical disorders; and (5) be cooperative." Patients were not included in the trial if they (1) had an allergy to lidocaine, (2) had a hypersensitivity to colds, or (3) had an underlying vascular or immunological condition.

Twenty patients in all were split up between the two groups. Every patient in the study served as a control,

subject to a random selection for a topical anesthetic or the pre-cooling method. A computer-generated chart was used to establish the sequence of treatments (Graphpad Statmate version 1.01i). This research was freely available to the public. The main and secondary outcomes were selected by a seasoned researcher who was not engaged in the experiment. Until after the trial was over, the statistician had no idea which group their data belonged to.

To make the ice bud, we removed the adapter from the 2 ml syringe until we reached the first-millimeter marker on the barrel. Then, we filled the syringe with clean water and cooled it at 40°C while standing on the end. The participants were shown how to use a VAS, or a visual analog scale, by indicating where on a line depicting two faces they should point to convey the level of discomfort they were experiencing. This method assigns a score between 0 and 10, a 10centimeter line marked with happy and sad faces; a higher score indicates more acute discomfort. A dentist who had no idea about pre-cooling the injection site had his patients use a VAS to assess their level of discomfort [11]. Organizations Taking Part in an Intervention or Pilot Study A ice bud underwent total sensory deprivation and desensitization before the surgery. As a counter stimulant, ice was administered to the injection site and massaged back and forth for two minutes. The patient was instructed to daydream about his favorite activity to help him forget about the process at hand [12]. First, the injection site was numbed with an ice bud, then a 27-gauge ultra-short needle was inserted cautiously, and last, some local anesthetic agent (LA) solution was injected without first removing the ice. When one intervention's results last while scientists test out another's, it's called a "stacked evaluation.", this phenomenon is known as a carry-over effect. In order to avoid this, it is common practice to include a "washout time" between research phases so that participants may recover from the effects of the preceding intervention. In the current investigation, a washout time was defined as a minimum of one week [13].

Negative Control Group After drying the mucosa, To numb the area surrounding the larger palatine foramen, a topical anesthetic gel (Lox-2% Jelly, Neon, Mumbai, India) was used using a cotton tip applicator. After that, for approximately 2 minutes, we used the applicator to glide softly back and forth across the palate soft tissues as a kind of counter-stimulation. To help the patient

relax during the injection, we told him to daydream [12]. A cotton-tipped applicator was used to numb the injection site, and then an ultra-short needle of 27 gauge was entered very slowly and the solution was placed.

SPSS Version 17 was used for the statistical analysis. Statistics were shown as means, medians, ranges, and standard deviations for continuous data. An Independent t-test was used to compare the VAS score between the Ice bud group & Control group, indicating significant difference at p≤0.05

#### 3. Results:

**Table 1:** Descriptive details

Group	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD
Ice bud group	10	0.00	2.00	0.70	0.68
Control group	10	2.00	5.00	3.50	0.85

This table shows the descriptive details of VAS score in each group. Minimum vas scale score reported in ice bud group was 0 and maximum was 2. Minimum vas scale score reported in control group was 2 and maximum was 5. Mean VAS score in ice bud and control group was  $0.70 \pm 0.68 \& 3.50 \pm 0.85$  respectively.

Table 2: Comparison of VAS score between Ice bud group & Control group

Group	Mean	SD	Difference	p value
Ice bud group	0.70	0.68	-2.80	<0.001*
Control group	3.50	0.85		

Independent t test; \* indicates significant difference at p≤0.05

Intergroup comparison of VAS score showed that VAS score was significantly lesser in ice bud group as compared to control group.

### 4. Discussion:

Applications are flexible, activating spinal cord neurologic and vascular systems to generate local and systemic effects. The local anesthetic effect of ice, known as cold-induced neuropraxia, is caused by the fact that ice slows down the speed at which pain nerve impulses travel and the activation threshold of tissue nociceptors. Vasoconstriction, one of its effects, restricts blood flow to tissues, which in turn inhibits metabolism, oxygen use, and inflammation [14]. Topical ice treatment has been extensively evaluated in the medical literature for its potential advantages in decreasing needle-related discomfort. However, our search for relevant literature is limited to the field of

dentistry, which does not allow for the comprehensive integration of self-report data with behavioral, psychological, and physiological reactions necessary to quantify pain. The current study's findings corroborate those of Harbert, who found that palatal injections caused less discomfort when treated with ice bud. Nonetheless, he did not back up his findings with objective pain assessment methods [15], and his research was not a randomized control trial.

This study demonstrated a statistically significant difference in VAS scores across groups when evaluating performance in a variety of settings. The mean VAS score in the ice bud and control group was  $0.70\pm0.68~\&~3.50\pm0.85$  respectively. Throughout infancy and early childhood, an observer may learn a lot from a child's nonverbal expressions of distress. In cases when the child's emotional or situational state

makes it difficult for them to utilize a self-report scale properly, an observer's interpretation of the child's conduct may supplement or even replace the child's own report. Important information may be conveyed via tears, facial expressions, and physical movement [16].

In this research, Pain from ice contact varies with the duration of contact and is very individual. Waiting for the topical anesthetic to take action is advised for anywhere from two minutes up to five minutes, depending on the source. When doing an inferior alveolar nerve block, Aminabadi and Farahani [17] noticed that kids patients would not be able to withstand cold treatment for 5 minutes but that adults and adolescents could. The use of ice is thought to reduce the activity of pain fibers, hence reducing pain perception. The number of ascending nociceptive impulses is reduced when A-beta fibers convey nonnoxious inputs to dorsal horn cells at the same time, as proposed by the "gate control hypothesis," which states that noxious inputs are mediated by tiny myelinated Adelta fibers and unmyelinated C-fibers. By activating inhibitory pain pathways, which are stimulated by topical cold, it may be possible to enhance the body's pain threshold. It has been shown that cold may diminish muscular spasms and suppress the stretch reflex at the spinal level [18].

The current study's findings provide credence to the theory that local anesthetic injections and dental operations are less painful for patients thanks to the analgesic effects of topical cooling. Intergroup comparison of the VAS score showed that the VAS score was significantly lesser in the ice bud group as compared to the control group (p=<0.001).

In a second experiment, researchers split 160 kids between the ages of 5 and 8 into two groups of 80 to see how the cooling agent, benzocaine, and ice all fared in comparison. After applying the refrigerant for 5 seconds, Bilateral inferior alveolar nerve block and bilateral greater palatine nerve block were performed by placing the ice cone or benzocaine on each side of the patient's mouth for 1 minute (split-mouth design). Compared to benzocaine gel and the cold, the ice cone was the most effective option [7]. Soni et al. [19] Fifty subjects, ages 7 to 12, had their maxillary infiltrations pre-cooled with ice for 4 minutes before receiving the lignocaine gel, and the results were evaluated using visual analogue scale and statistical evaluation

methods. They discovered that pre-cooling was a viable, risk-free procedure that served as a welcome diversion during the administration of LA. Rather of tasting the unpleasant topical anesthetic, children were happier when the pre-cooling approach was used. This might be because ice is a more appealing sensation than the anesthetic itself. Patients said they felt better with the ice bar 10 and 30 minutes after receiving LA therapy, presumably because the cold helped them get over the pain they were suffering due to the numbness. As a result of this research, we can conclude that ice bars, are the preferred post-LA reward for youngsters. Inconvenience, soft-tissue biting, and acts of self-mutilation were all mitigated by consuming ice, even if it was unsugared [20].

#### 5. Conclusion:

Injecting local anesthetics into a tooth causes a lot of dread and anxiety in young children and sometimes in adults also, so this easy technique might help them through their dental procedures, dependable, and effective treatment that requires no extra cost: cooling the injection site before the anesthetic.

#### **References:**

- [1] Bedi R, Sutcliffe P, Donnan PT, McConnachie J. The prevalence of dental anxiety in a group of 13-and 14-year old Scottish children. Int J Paediatr Dent 1992;2:17-24.
- [2] Milgrom P, Coldwell SE, Getz T, Weinstein P, Ramsay DS. Four dimensions of fear of dental injections. J Am Dent Assoc 1997;128:756-66.
- [3] McDonald RE, Avery DR, Dean JA. McDonald and Avery's Dentistry for the Child and Adolescent. 9th ed. Maryland Heights: Mosby/Elsevier; 2011. p. 241-4.
- [4] Younis I, Bhutiani RP. Taking the 'ouch' outeffect of buffering commercial xylocaine on infiltration and procedure pain-a prospective, randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2004;86:213-7.
- [5] Touyz LZ, Lamontagne P, Smith BE. Pain and anxiety reduction using a manual stimulation distraction device when administering local analgesia oro-dental injections: A multi-center clinical investigation. J Clin Dent 2004;15:88-92.

- [6] Peretz B, Bimstein E. The use of imagery suggestions during administration of local anesthetic in pediatric dental patients. ASDC J Dent Child 2000; 67: 263-7, 231.
- [7] Champion G, Goodenough B, Von Baeyer C, Thomas W. Measurement of pain by self-report. Prog Pain Res Manag 1998; 10: 123-60.
- [8] Stinson JN, Kavanagh T, Yamada J, Gill N, Stevens B. Systematic review of the psychometric properties, interpretability and feasibility of selfreport pain intensity measures for use in clinical trials in children and adolescents. Pain 2006; 125: 143-57.
- [9] Touyz LZ, Lamontagne P, Smith BE. Pain and anxiety reduction using a manual stimulation distraction device when administering local analgesia oro-dental injections: A multi-center clinical investigation. J Clin Dent 2004;15:88-92.
- [10] Friedman MJ, Hochman MN. The AMSA injection: A new concept for local anesthesia of maxillary teeth using a computercontrolled injection system. Quintessence Int 1998;29:297-303.
- [11] Nilsson S, Finnström B, Kokinsky E. The FLACC behavioral scale for procedural pain assessment in children aged 5-16 years. Paediatr Anaesth 2008; 18: 767-74.
- [12] Peretz B, Bimstein E. The use of imagery suggestions during administration of local anesthetic in pediatric dental patients. ASDC J Dent Child 2000; 67: 263-7, 231.

- [13] Jadad AR, Enkin M. Randomized controlled trials: questions, answers, and musings: Wiley Online Library; 2007.
- [14] Leff DR, Nortley M, Dang V, Bhutiani RP. The effect of local cooling on pain perception during infiltration of local anesthetic agents, a prospective randomized controlled trial. Anesthesia 2007;62:677-82.
- [15] Harbert H. Topical ice: A precursor to palatal injections. J Endod 1989;15:27-8.
- [16] Merkel SI, Voepel-Lewis T, Shayevitz JR, Malviya S. The FLACC: a behavioral scale for scoring postoperative pain in young children. Pediatr Nurs 1997; 23: 293-7.
- [17] Aminabadi NA, Farahani RM. The effect of precooling the injection site on pediatric pain perception during the administration of local anesthesia. J Contemp Dent Pract 2009; 10: 43-50.
- [18] Abramson DI, Chu LS, Tuck S, Lee SW, Richardson G, Levin M. Effect of tissue temperatures and blood flow on motor nerve conduction velocity. JAMA 1966;198:1082-8.
- [19] Soni H, Saha R, Prajapati R, Pathak S. The effectiveness of pre-cooling the injection site in alleviating the pain of oral injections in pediatric subjects. Acta Sci Dent Sci 2020; 4: 1-5
- [20] Ram D, Efrat J, Michovitz N, Moskovitz M. The use of popsicles after dental treatment with local anesthesia in pediatric patients. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2006; 31: 41-3.