Effect of Class Circuit Therapy on Balance and Gait in Hemiparetic Patients

Received: 25 October 2022, Revised: 28 November 2022, Accepted: 29 December 2022

*Bhulakshmi M1, *Kalidasan Varathan 2

Department of Physiotherapy, Krupanidhi College of Physiotherapy, Bangalore - 560035, Karnataka, India *rvkalidasan@gmail.com, parimalamaatla632@gmail.com

Keywords

Hemiparesis, Balance, Gait, Class Circuit Therapy, Functional Ambulation Category, Balance Evaluation Systems Test.

Abstract

Background: Hemiplegia occurs when brain tissue is damaged on one side of the body but not the other. Stroke survivors commonly have difficulties with balance and walking. For stroke patients with hemiparesis, Class Circuit Therapy (CCT) is a form of task-specific training (TST) that comprises practicing in a circuit-like pattern. The study's objective was to assess how Class Circuit Therapy affected the balance and gait of hemiparetic cases. Methods: One hundred forty hemiparetic patients from the Out Patient Department, Krupanidhi College of Physiotherapy, home care facilities, and hospitals in and around Bengaluru. Forty-eight people were evaluated, and those who met the selection criteria for the study. Over six weeks, the group underwent Class Circuit Therapy five times for forty-five minutes each. Gait was evaluated using the Functional Ambulation Category, while the balance was evaluated using the Balance Evaluation Systems Test. Findings: The intervention group demonstrated better improvement in gait performance for the Functional Ambulation Category and Balance in all aspects of the Balance Evaluation Systems Test, including biomechanical constraints, stability limits, transitions, reactive, sensory orientation, and gait stability, after completing the 6-week of intervention. Novelty and applications: The study concludes that class circuit therapy improves balance and gait performance, generates a competitive and supportive environment, promotes social contact among stroke patients, and enhances their skills and confidence.

1. Introduction

A focused impairment of brain function with a suspected vascular aetiology that lasts longer than 24 hours or results in death is known as a cerebrovascular accident [1]. Regarding adult disability, neurological impairment due to stroke is the most common cause of death [2]. A stroke is a potentially fatal neurological disorder caused by the interruption of blood supply to the brain due to the formation of blood clots or embolisms, the rupture of the brain blood vessel, or both [3]. Ischemic stroke and haemorrhagic stroke are the two forms of stroke. Ischemic stroke can come in two different forms. The most frequent type of stroke, haemorrhagic stroke, which includes subarachnoid and cerebral haemorrhage, is caused by blood vessel rupture [4]. Both thrombotic ischemic and embolic ischemic stroke can be

caused by blockages of the major cerebral arteries or by smaller branches of these arteries piercing deeper regions of the brain [4, 5]. Compared to 4.66 million in 1990, the anticipated prevalence rate in 2010 was 5.87 million, a rise of 26% over the preceding two decades [6]. According to demographic surveys, stroke incidence rates climbed by 56-117/100,000 between 1979 and 2008 in low- and middle-income countries, while they fell by 163-94/100,000 in high-income countries [7]. Abnormal heart rhythms, high cholesterol, alcohol abuse, diet, stress, physical inactivity, obesity, hypertension, cardiovascular diabetes mellitus, smoking, cholesterol levels, and contraception with high oestrogen dosages [8, 9].

Clinically speaking, stroke causes speech impairment along with sensory deficits, motor

impairments, mental fuzziness, numbness, and perceptual problems. cognitive and coordination, and balance are primarily impacted [10]. Eighty-three percent of stroke patients have high balance impairment, which increases their risk of falling. Integrating ocular, vestibular, and somatosensory information into the central nervous system (CNS) is a challenging process underlies human balance control. Control your center of gravity to stay within your support base with minimal weight shifting is essential for maintaining balance [11]. After a stroke, motor impairment results in a loss of motor control, performance, and mobility, while muscle weakness and voluntary movements slow down gait speed. Reduced weight bearing on the afflicted side, walking speed, standing, sitting, turning, getting out of bed, and stair climbing are some elements that affect the patient's quality of life [12, 13]. Asymmetrical gait raises the risks of articular joint degradation in the nonparetic lower limb and bone density loss in the paretic limb, has a more significant metabolic cost, and shortens the time needed for the paretic limb to transition from single-limb to the more stable double-limb support phases [14]. Hemiplegia is a loss of function on one lateral aspect of the body caused by damage in one half of the brain. Primary lesions occur in the two highest tract divisions, thalamus opticus, and corpus striatum. The symptoms appear on the same side of the face paralysed and opposite limbs affected but not always opposite to the lesion [15, 16].

Mirror Therapy [17], resistance and aerobic training [18], and Wobble Board exercises are some physiotherapy approaches that can help stroke survivors with their balance and gait [19]. Morgan and Anderson (1953) developed Class Circuit Therapy at the University of England [20]. CCT is a subset of TST that emphasizes functional gaitrelated tasks and incorporates the repetition of activities in a circuit or series of departments for more than two people at once [21]. Training improves range of motion, strength, and walking ability [22]. According to recent studies, circuit training can enhance most physical activities and lessen fall anxiety as well as conventional therapy [23]. Van Wissen and Blanchard [24] proved that Circuit Class Therapy significantly improves stroke patients' motor performance and walking capacity.

Lee and Kim ^[25] demonstrated that Class Circuit Therapy was influential in the balance and mobility of chronic stroke patients by using task-related circuit training. Therefore, the uniqueness of this study was to determine how CCT patients with Hemiparetic balance and gait.

2. Materials and Methods

The institutional ethics committee's clearance from Krupanidhi College of Physiotherapy (Ref. No.: EC-MPT/21/PHY/017) was taken to undergo the study to collect the samples. All hemiplegia subjects were described in the study, and informed consent was taken from them. A pre-post-test experimental design was employed for the investigation, and a random sample technique was applied to the sampling process. The research was carried out on One hundred forty hemiparetic patients from the Out Patient Department, Krupanidhi College of Physiotherapy, home care facilities, and hospitals in and around Bengaluru. The study duration was from June 2021 to June 2022. Forty-eight individuals were evaluated, including those who met the selection criteria.

Patients having a middle cerebral artery lesion [26] and those who could walk at least 10 meters with or without aid were considered eligible [17]. Minimental examination state> 24, Aged 40-60 years, males and females are included. Hemorrhagic stroke patients, Sensory or global aphasia, Visual and auditory deficits [27], A history of epilepsy or recent seizures in the last six months, bone fracture(s), or surgery of the limbs and/or spine resulting in a restriction of strength or movement, are excluded. Six weeks of therapy consisted of one session each day, five days a week. The trial lasted an entire year.

2.1 Procedure: Class Circuit Therapy

The subjects attended circuit treatment classes five times a week for six weeks. All of the circuit therapy classes were attended by the subjects under the direction of one physiotherapist. Circuit therapy includes two courses of challenging exercises lasting 40 minutes each, separated by 1-minute rest breaks and a 4-minutes cool-down time. Therefore, the organized protocol took 45 minutes to complete one session.

There were two types of complex exercises standing and sitting. The first category included sitto-stand exercises from various heights, standing with feet together; reaching and picking objects; turning while standing; and single-leg standing. The second category includes stair climbing, carrying an object while walking, stepping forward and sideways, and quick walking. These exercises were explicitly tailored so that the level of complexity matched the subjects to the functional level progression.

2.2 Outcome Measures

Functional Ambulation Category and Balance Evaluation Systems Test

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Data were evaluated using SPSS for Windows (version 29.0). Demographic data and outcome variables were calculated using descriptive statistics. For hemiparetic patients, the functional ambulation category (gait) and the balance evaluation systems test (balance) were utilized to determine the significant differences between the variables using Paired T-test. To find the significance level as desired, 5%.

3. Results

Table 1	1: Age and	BMI of s	study su	bjects-des	scriptive	statistics

Variables	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age in years	52.46	6.585
BMI	27.890	5.5142

It is inferred from table 1 that the mean age of the study subjects was 52.46.32±6.585 of 40 to 60

years, and the BMI of the study subjects was $27.890\pm5.5142~kg/m^2$ of 17.10 to $46.40kg/m^2$.

Table 2: Frequency Distribution for Gender of study subjects

Gender	Frequency
Male	23
Female	25

It is inferred from table 2 that more responses were received from the female compared to male subjects.

 Table 3: Outcome Measures Pre and Post-treatment evaluation

Tests	Pre- test Mean	Post Test Mean	Mean difference	Std. Deviation	t	p	Significance
Functional Ambulation Category	2.77	4.21	-1.438	0.501	-19.866	0.001	Highly Significant

Biomechanical Constraints	48.333	75.000	-26.6667	7.1459	-25.854	0.001	Highly Significant
Stability Limits	53.968	77.976	-24.0079	5.3766	-30.936	0.001	Highly Significant
Transitions	52.199	72.801	-20.6019	5.9503	-23.988	0.001	Highly Significant
Reactive	40.856	60.995	-20.1389	5.9134	-23.595	0.001	Highly Significant
Sensory Orientation	41.806	63.611	-21.8056	6.7006	-22.546	0.001	Highly Significant
Stability in Gait	28.472	44.841	-16.3690	4.7014	-24.122	0.001	Highly Significant
BESTest	2.460	3.659	-1.1999	0.1556	-53.433	0.001	Highly Significant

The paired "t" test revealed that the outcome of the study of all variables had significantly improved, as shown in Table 3. There was a significant change in gait, as evidenced by the mean difference between the pre-and post-test scores for FAC, which is -1.438, biomechanical constraints of BESTest pre-test Mean \pm SD (48.333 \pm 10.0589) and post-test Mean \pm SD (75.000 \pm 10.5185). The pre-and post-test mean biomechanical constraint score difference is -26.6667. Stability limits of BESTest pre-test Mean \pm SD (53.968 \pm 8.7493) and post-test mean \pm SD (-77.976 \pm 7.6484). The average score for stability limitations before and after the intervention is -24.0079. Transitions of BESTest pretest Mean \pm SD (52.199 \pm 9.6388) and posttest Mean \pm SD (72.801 \pm 10.5807). The mean transition test score difference between the pre and post-tests is -20.6019. Reactive of BESTest pretest Mean \pm SD (40.856 \pm 8.4596) and posttest Mean \pm SD (60.995 ± 10.4715) . The mean difference between the pre and post-test scores for reactive is -20.1389. Sensory orientation of BESTest pre-test Mean \pm SD (41.806 \pm 11.0652) and pos test Mean \pm SD (63.611 \pm 11.0839). The variation of pre and post-test scores for sensory orientation is -21.8056. Stability in the gait of BESTest Mean \pm SD (28.472) \pm 6.6977) and post-test Mean \pm SD (44.841 \pm 7.9094). The difference between the pre and posttest scores for gait stability is -16.3690. BESTest pretest Mean \pm SD (2.460 \pm 0.2875) and posttest

Mean \pm SD (3.659 \pm 0.3481). The difference between the pre and post-test for BESTest is -1.1999. All variables in BESTest show there was a significant improvement in balance. According to all variables, FAC, biomechanical constraints, stability limits, transitions, reactive, sensory orientation, gait stability, and BESTest are statistically significant (p=0.001, p<0.05), indicating high significance.

4. Discussion

Patients with stroke indicate dependence on others because of impaired walking ability and balance. Restoring independent gait and balance is the main aim of rehabilitation [28]. Circuit training groups can be attributed to increases in lower limb muscle strength and endurance and increased speed, balance, and gait [29]. Thus, the study aimed to examine the effects of Class Circuit Therapy in hemiparetic patients. Thus, the study's goal was to assess how effective class circuit therapy is towards the balance and gait of hemiparetic patients. This study showed that FAC was advantageous for balance and gait. In a study carried out on 81 patients by Daniel Treacy et al [30], similar results were found in the balance; the average values increased from 3.27 (0.84 to 5.70) to 3.41 (-0.56 to 7.38) in the intervention group. Catherine *et al* [31], had demonstrated in a study of 9 subjects, with two

groups of 5 in the experimental and 4 in the control group, that balance was improved by using step test ranges Mean \pm SD (6.2 \pm 4.4) to (10.3 \pm 4.4) in the experimental group than the control group. Malik et al [23] study was done on 30 subjects, with two groups of 15. Each group balance was improved in the experimental group than in the control group the mean value of the pre-test score (31.60 \pm 6.62) and the post-test score (51.00 \pm 6.39). Although there was no significant effect on the balance among stroke subjects using a step test, the mean values were 0.37 CI, -0.06 to 0.80, and BBS 0.25 CI, -0.14 to 0.49 in a study [32]. Coralie English et al [33] found that there was no statistically significant difference between the effects of CCT and other interventions for functional mobility as measured by the timed up and go test; the mean was -3.08 sec, 95% CI, -7.59 to 1.43, p=0.18; and for balance measured using the BBS; the mean was 0.86, 95% CI, -1.02 to 2.74, p=0.37.

Neuroplasticity refers to the brain's ability to change and "rewire" existing connections in light of new information [34]. In response to therapy, the brain picks up new behaviors and relearns those it has forgotten. Specificity, repetition, and intensity are a few tenets of control principles that incorporate experience-dependent neuroplasticity [35]. This technique may improve motor performance by activating the parietal lobe and motor-related parts of the brain; when a person observes and mimics a movement, it stimulates a circuit of neurons in the premotor and parietal cortex [36].

5. Limitations and Recommendations

There were 48 participants in this research. It is possible to use more significant samples to generalize these results. Only six weeks were spent doing the intervention. It can be done for a more extended period to get healthier results. After six weeks, the follow-up was not examined; however, the intervention's long-term effects may have been assessed.

6. Conclusion

Class circuit training was simple and safe to administer, as it provided individual tailored faceto-face treatment and intensified physiotherapy for stroke patients. According to the findings above, it can be deduced that circuit gait training boosts balance and gait performance, encourages social contact among stroke patients, and fosters a competitive and encouraging environment, further boosting their skills and confidence.

References

- Downie PA Cash's textbook of neurology for physiotherapists. 4th ed. New Delhi: Jaypee brothers; 1993, ISBN- 9780723418344:pg no 240.
- Weingarten M, Silva GS. Hypertension and Stroke: Update on treatment. European Cardiology Review. 2019 Jul;14(2):111-115, doi: 10.15420/ecr.2019.11.1
- Rahman AA, Amruta N, Pinteaux E, Bix GJ. Neurogenesis after Stroke: A Therapeutic perspective. Translational Stroke Research. 2021 Feb;12(1):1-4.
- Tadi P, Lui F. Acute stroke. Stat Pearls. Stat Pearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL); 2021: 1-15, PMID: 33760452
- Alrabghi L, Alnemari R, Aloteebi R, Alshammari H, Ayyad M, Al Ibrahim M, et.al. Stroke types and Management. International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health. 2018 Aug 24;5(9) page no: 3705-3715, DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph2018343
- 6. Feigin VL, Lawes CM, Bennett DA, Anderson CS. Stroke Epidemiology: a review of population-based studies of Incidence, Prevalence, and case-fatality in the late 20th century. The Lancet Neurology. 2003 Jan 1;2(1):43-53, DOI: 10.1016/s1474-4422(03)00266-7
- Kamalakannan S, Gudlavalleti AS, Gudlavalleti VS, Goenka S, Kuper H. Incidence & Prevalence of Stroke in India. The Indian Journal of Medical Research. 2017 Aug;146(2):175-185, DOI: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_516_15
- 8. Boehme AK, Esenwa C, Elkind MS. Stroke

- Risk factors, Genetics, and Prevention. Circulation Research. 2017 Feb 3;120(3):472-495, doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308398
- Susan B. o 'Sullivan, Thomas J. schmitz. george D. folk. Physical Rehabilitation. 6th ed. 2014: page no: 647, ISBN-13: 978-0-8036-2579-2
- 10. Allan H. Ropper, Robert H. Brown. Adam's and Victor's Principles of Neurology. 8thed. page no: 662, ISBN-007141620X
- 11. Li J, Zhong D, Ye J, He M, Liu X, Zheng H, Jin R, et.al. Rehabilitation for Balance impairment in patients after stroke. British Medical Journal open. 2019 Jul 1;9(7): 1-6, DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026844
- 12. Arienti C, Lazzarini SG, Pollock A, Negrini S. Rehabilitation interventions for improving Balance following Stroke. Public Library of Science One. 2019 Jul 19;14(7):1-23, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0219781
- 13. Yavuzer G, Eser F, Karakus D, Karaoglan B, Stam HJ. The Effects of Balance training on Gait late after Stroke. Clinical Rehabilitation. 2006 Nov;20(11):960-969, DOI: 10.1177/0269215506070315
- 14. Alexander LD, Black SE, Patterson KK, Gao F, Danells CJ, McIlroy WE. Association between Gait Asymmetry and Brain lesion location in Stroke patients. Stroke. 2009 Feb 1;40(2):537-544, https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.527 374
- 15. Jackson JH. Clinical lecture on a case of Hemiplegia. British Medical Journal. 1874 Jul 18;2(707) page no:69-71, doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.707.69
- 16. Yadav V, Gera C, Yadav R. Evolution in Hemiplegic Management: A Review. International Journal Medical Science Public Health. 2018;8(5):360-369.
- 17. Lee D, Lee G. Effect of afferent electrical stimulation with Mirror therapy on Motor function, Balance, and Gait in chronic stroke

- survivors. European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine. 2019 Mar 22;55(4):442-449, DOI: <u>10.23736/S1973-9087.19.05334-6</u>
- 18. Lund C, Dalgas U, Grønborg TK, Andersen H, Severinsen K, Riemenschneider M, et.al. balance and walking performance are improved after resistance and aerobic training in persons with chronic stroke. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2018 Sep 25;40(20):2408-2415, DOI: 10.1080/09638288.2017.1336646
- 19. Madhuranga PV, Mathangasinghe Y, Anthony DJ. Improving balance with wobble board exercises in Stroke Patients. Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation. 2019 Nov 17;26(8):595-601, DOI: 10.1080/10749357.2019.1645439
- 20. Kraviz, Len. "New Insights into Circuit Training". University of New Mexico.Retrieved 2005;11-16.
- 21. Bonini-Rocha AC, De Andrade AL, Moraes AM, Matheus LB, Diniz LR, Martins WR. Effectiveness of circuit-based exercises on gait speed, balance, and functional mobility in people affected by stroke. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2018 Apr 1;10(4):398-409, DOI: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2017.09.014
- 22. Lawal IU, Hillier SL, Hamzat TK, Rhoda A. Effectiveness of a structured circuit class therapy model in stroke rehabilitation. BioMed Central Limited. Neurology. 2015 Dec;15(1):1-10, DOI: 10.1186/s12883-015-0348-7
- 23. Malik AN, Amjad I, ul-Ain Q. Effect of circuit gait training vs traditional gait training on mobility performance in stroke. Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2018 Mar 1;68(3):455-458, PMID: 29540885
- 24. Van Wissen K, Blanchard D. Circuit class therapy for improving mobility after stroke. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2019 Sep 1; 97:130-131, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.10.001
- 25. Lee HS, Kim MC. The effect of balance taskrelated circuit training on chronic stroke

- patients. The Journal of Korean Physical Therapy. 2009;21(4):23-30.
- 26. Bovonsunthonchai S, Aung N, Hiengkaew V, Tretriluxana J. A Randomized controlled trial of motor imagery combined with structured progressive circuit class therapy on gait in stroke survivors. Scientific Reports. 2020 Apr 24;10(1):1-11.
- 27. Kim SM, Han EY, Kim BR, Hyun CW. Clinical application of circuit training for Subacute Stroke patients: a Preliminary study. Journal of Physical Therapy Science. 2016;28(1):169-174, DOI: 10.1589/jpts.28.169
- 28. An M, Shaughnessy M. The effects of exercise-based rehabilitation on balance and gait for stroke patients: A Systematic Review. Journal of Neuro Science Nursing. 2011 Dec 1;43(6):298-307,

DOI: 10.1097/JNN.0b013e318234ea24

- 29. Pillai SG, Nordin NA, Singh DK, Culpan J. Is circuit class therapy packaged to improve functional mobility, balance, community reintegration, and quality of life in stroke survivors? a Review. Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine. 2016 May 10;16(3):75-86.
- 30. Treacy D, Schurr K, Lloyd B, Sherrington C. Additional standing balance circuit classes during inpatient rehabilitation improved balance outcomes: an Assessor-blinded Randomised Controlled Trial. Age and Ageing. 2015 Jul 1;44(4):580-586, DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afv019
- 31. Dean CM, Richards CL, Malouin F. Taskrelated circuit training improves performance of locomotor tasks in chronic stroke: a

- randomized, controlled pilot trial. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2000 Apr 1;81(4):409-417, DOI: 10.1053/mr.2000.3839
- 32. Wevers L, Van De Port I, Vermue M, Mead G, Kwakkel G. Effects of task-oriented circuit class training on walking competency after stroke: a Systematic Review. Stroke. 2009 Jul 1;40(7):2450-2459,

DOI: <u>10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.541946</u>

- 33. English C, Bernhardt J, Crotty M, Esterman A, Segal L, Hillier S. Circuit class therapy or seven-day week therapy for increasing rehabilitation intensity of therapy after stroke (CIRCIT): A Randomized Controlled Trial. International Journal of Stroke. 2015 Jun;10(4):594-602, DOI: 10.1111/ijs.12470
- 34. English C, van de Port I, Lynch E. Group circuit class therapy for stroke survivors—A review of the evidence and clinical implications. Physical therapy perspectives in the 21st century-challenges and possibilities. Croatia: Intech Open. 2012 Apr 5:337-368, DOI: 10.5772/35682
- 35. Straudi S, Martinuzzi C, Pavarelli C, Charabati AS, Benedetti MG, Foti C, et.al. A taskoriented circuit training in multiple sclerosis: a Feasibility Study. Bio Med Central Neurology. 2014 Dec;14(1):1-9, DOI: 10.1186/1471-2377-14-124
- 36. Garrison KA, Winstein CJ, Aziz-Zadeh L. The Mirror Neuron system: A Neural substrate for methods in stroke rehabilitation. Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair. 2010 Jun;24(5):404-412,

https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968309354536